



# Single gene (SG) vs. Multi-Gene Panel (MGP) testing for TP53 germline mutations in Li-Fraumeni syndrome (LFS)

Huma Q. Rana<sup>1</sup>, Rebecca Gelman<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer Thompson<sup>2</sup>, Rachel McFarland<sup>2</sup>, Holly LaDuca<sup>2</sup>, Emily Dalton<sup>2</sup>, Virginia Speare<sup>2</sup>, Jill S. Dolinsky<sup>2</sup>, Elizabeth C. Chao<sup>2</sup>, and Judy E. Garber<sup>1</sup> 1) Center for Genetic Risk and Prevention, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; 2) Ambry Genetics, Aliso Viejo, CA.

### Background

LFS is a highly penetrant, rare (1 in 20,000 prevalence), autosomal dominant, hereditary cancer syndrome associated with pathogenic germline mutations in the TP53 gene.

Core component cancers of LFS include bone and soft tissue sarcomas, brain tumors, leukemias, ACC, CPC, and breast cancer. The frequency of *TP53* mutations in women with breast cancer diagnosed at <30 years has been reported at <1% to 7%.

Traditionally, testing of *TP53* has been limited to individuals and families who meet wellestablished criteria. With the advent of MGP tests, TP53 analysis has expanded beyond these selected groups.

Table 1	: NCCN LFS Testing Criteria				
Criteria	Description				
Classic	<ul> <li>Proband diagnosed with sarcoma age &lt; 45 years</li> <li>AND a first-degree relative with cancer age &lt; 45 years</li> <li>AND another first-degree or second-degree relative in the lineage with any cancer before this age or sarcoma at any age.</li> </ul>				
Chompret (2009)	<ul> <li>Proband with LFS tumor before age 46 AND</li> <li>At least 1 FDR or SDR with early cancer (age&lt;56 years) or multiple primaries</li> <li>OR multiple tumors, 2 of which are LFS component tumors; 1 <age 46="" li="" years<=""> <li>OR Proband with ACC or CPC</li> </age></li></ul>				
Early-age- onset BC	<ul> <li>Individual with breast cancer ≤ age</li> <li>35</li> </ul>				

#### Methods

TP53 testing was performed via SG and 8 different MGP by Ambry Genetics for 25,182 patients. Personal and family history data was obtained from test requisitions forms for all probands. Additional personal and family collected *TP53*+ data was history individuals (defined here as those with Class 4 & 5 variants).

Cancer and family histories were examined determine whether National any Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) testing criteria were met including Classic criteria, Chompret criteria (2009), and breast cancer (BC) diagnosis ≤ age 35 years. All p values are from two-sided Fisher exact tests.

Results								
Table 2: Overall Results								
Test Result	Single Gene Test (n=2,956)		All Multi-gene Panels (n=22,226)					
	n	%	n	%				
TP53+	118	4.0*	69	0.31*				
TP53 VUS	39	1.3	101	0.45				
TP53-	2,802	94.7	22,055	99.2				

 $p=1x10^{-9}$ 

# Table 3: Cancer Histories of *TP53*+ and TP53 VUS Probands\*

		SG, <i>TP53</i> + (n=119)	MGP, TP53+ (n=81)	SG, VUS (n=42)	MGP, VUS (n=95)
Cancer Diagnosis		<b>99</b> %†	<b>94</b> %†	<b>98</b> %‡	<b>82</b> % <sup>‡</sup>
Cancer Dx ≤ age 18		<b>29</b> %†	<b>1</b> % <sup>†</sup>	<b>21</b> % <sup>‡</sup>	3%‡
ent Cancers (C)	Sarcoma	39%	12%	29%	5%
	Brain	11%	<b>4</b> %	12%	1%
Component (non-BC)	Leukemia	4%	1%	5%	3%
Col	ACC	3%	1%	2%	0%
Core	CPC	0%	0%	0%	0%
S	Total	<b>55</b> % <sup>†</sup>	<b>17</b> % <sup>†</sup>	43%‡	<b>9</b> % <sup>‡</sup>
Breast cancer ≤ age 35		31%	32%	24%	<b>9</b> %
Breas	st Cancer	54%	67%	<b>50</b> % <sup>‡‡</sup>	<b>76</b> % <sup>‡‡</sup>

\*additional *TP5*3+ probands were included in this analysis

- significantly •†There were more cancer diagnoses, pediatric cancers, and total core component cancer diagnoses in SG vs. MGP TP53+ probands.
- †The same findings were true for SG vs. MGP TP53 VUS probands.
- \*\*There were significantly more breast cancer diagnoses in the MGPTP53 VUS group than in the SG TP53 VUS group.

# Table 4: Clinical Characteristics of TP53+ Kindreds by Test Type

Criteria Met	Single Gene (n=102)	Multi-Gene Panel (n=66)
Classic or Chompret	73% (95% CI 63%- 81%)	30% (95% CI 19%- 47%)
NCCN Testing Criteria (Table 1: above plus Proband Dx of Breast cancer age ≤ 35	85% (95% CI 77% - 92%)	53% (95% CI 40% - 65%)

\*analysis was limited to probands with sufficient phenotypic and FHx data available

## Conclusions

- MGP testing enables the identification of TP53 gene mutations in individuals who would not otherwise have been suspected based on established LFS testing criteria.
- TP53 mutation carriers ascertained through MGPs are less likely to have had a cancer diagnosis, pediatric cancers, component tumors, and fulfill Classic or Chompret testing schema.
- Breast cancers were more common in TP53+ and TP53 VUS probands identified through MGP testing. This likely reflects referral bias for the test ordered.
- Prospective cohorts are needed to evaluate whether a TP53+ result through MGP testing in non-classic LFS families is predictive of future LFS cancers in the kindred.
- *TP53* VUS Although rates are low, increasing use of MGPs will likely generate additional VUS that will require classification.
- Current guidelines for TP53 testing bias our results on prior FHx of these probands.
- These data raise questions about the penetrance of *TP53* mutations and LFS syndrome definition. Family history data will be critical to their resolution.

Abbreviations: ACC: adrenocortical carcinoma; BC: breast cancer. CPC: choroid plexus carcinoma, Dx: Diagnosis; FHx: Family history; FDR: first -degree relative; MGP: Multi-Gene Panel; NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network SDR: second-degree relative; SG: Single-Gene; VUS: Variant of Uncertain Significance (Class 3 for this poster).