

Berg et al., 2012) (Table 1).

Shahmirzadi L¹, Gonzalez K¹, Tang S¹, Parra M¹, Palmaer E¹, Keiles S¹, Chao E¹ 1) Ambry Genetics Corporation, Aliso Viejo, CA

Strategy for reporting secondary findings for diagnostic exome sequencing (DES).

Background

- Diagnostic exome sequencing (DES) results in the identification of thousands of variants unrelated to the indication for testing, often referred to as "incidental" or "secondary findings" (SFs). While the vast majority of these are likely benign polymorphisms or within genes whose function or pathogenicity remains obscure, a small number are well-described disease-associated mutations that may have important clinical and medical significance for the patient. For example, nonbiased massively parallel sequencing-based carrier-screening assays show that every individual carries an average of 2.8 mutations among genes known to cause severe pediatric disease in the recessive form. Among 37 well-described cancer predisposition genes, ~1% of individuals manifest a likely pathogenic cancer predisposition (Bell et al., 2011).
- The program director of the NHGRI's Ethical, Legal, and social Implications Research Program likened the topic to "arguably the most pressing issue in genetics today." (Couzin-Frankel et al., 2011).
- Recently, the ACMG set forth recommendations for reporting secondary findings from a list of 57 previously defined genes for all patients undergoing exome sequencing (Figure 2)(Green et al., 2013).

regarding disclosure of secondary findings in both children and adults (including Wolf et al., 2012; Fabstitz et al., 2010;

Strategies Utilized				
Reference	Conclusion			
ACMG Points to consider in clinical application of genomic sequencing . (Policy Statement, 2012)	 Recommend disclosing the SF policy to patients and giving patients the option of not receiving certain or SFs. 			
ACMG Recommendations for reporting SFs. (Green <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	 Recommended list of 57 genes to report Report SFs for all patients regardless of age or preference for findings. 			
Retrospective study of patient preferences for SF results (Shahmirzadi <i>et al</i> , in press).	 Study concluded that majority of patients chose to receive all available SF results including carrier status, cancer predisposition, adult-onset, and early-onset disease. Provide patients with option to receive an expanded SF report with list of genes beyond the ACMG minimum. 			

Ethical Considerations 1. Based on the basic ethical principles and the discussion of delivery of secondary findings in minors, all strategies Herein, we provide a strategy for reporting secondary findings results, based on recent ACMG recommendations, were developed to allow patients to opt out of receiving empirical data from the preferences of the first 200 families undergoing DES at Ambry Genetics, and recent literature

secondary findings. 2. Medical ethics consultations with bioethicists , genetic counselors, and geneticists.

General points: Refe		Reference	By default, all patients under
 adulthood such as with late-onset diseases or conand is only recommended when the currer A recent chronological review of the ethical arg describes a gradual shift toward eth Cognitive impairment has been discussed amor genetic testing in minor Arguments against disclosure of predictive test results in minors (Abdul-Karin R, et al., 2013; Mand C, et al. 2012) Hindrance of confidentiality Respect for patient autonomy (in reference to the child's future choice as an adult) 	 Artic benefits of the test will not decrue until additions without medical management guidelines in the potential benefits outweigh the harms. uments for predictive genetic testing in minors incal arguments in favor of testing. Ag the limited circumstances in which predictive rs may be appropriate. Arguments in favor of disclosure of predictive te (Duncan RE, et al., 2005; Duncan RE, et al., 2008; Mand C, et al. 20 Ross LF, et al., 2013) Avoidance of paternalism Multiple studies cite that few patien regretted receiving results as a c Psychological benefits including reduction 	Abdul-Karin et al., 2013; Wolf <i>et al.</i> , 12008 Mand <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Ross <i>et al.</i> , 2013 St results in minors 012; Murphy J, et al., 2008; ts have hild n of anxiety	 For the Clinical Diagnostic E consent to a patient-driven so the ACMG minimum list of ge following four disease category. A) Recessive disease category. B) Cancer predisposition C) Early-onset disease D) Late-onset disease predisease All patients have the option so the option of the All patients have the option
Figure 1. Secondary Findings Report Options First Tier exome or First-Tier with reflex to (CDE): _ ACMG MINIMUM LIST _ I DO NOT WANT ANY SECONDARY FINDINGS REPORTED Clinical disease carrier status _ Cancer predisposition _ Adult-onset disease predisposition _ Early-onset disease _ I DO NOT WANT ANY SECONDARY FINDINGS REPORTED	DRTED DRTED	<section-header> Figue Secon <thsecon< th=""> Secon</thsecon<></section-header>	Image: Section of the section of th
Table 2. Reportable Mutations Reportable Mutations Mutations with: • HGMD/OMIM mutation record - or- • Classification as mutation based on ACMC guidelines No variants of uncertain signific Variants of uncertain significance (VUS) and benign alterations not reported	G- SDHC_SDHB SDHC_SDHB ISC. TSC2 Iuberous Sciences Complex WD ISC. TSC2 Iuberous Sciences Complex WD ICTED INTERN NE2 Neuroithormatoris type2 COL2AL EDS:_vascular type FBNT, TGFBRT, Marian Syndrome, Loeys-Dietz Syndromes, and TGFBR2, Familial Thoracic Aortic Aneurysms and Dissections SMAD3, ACTA2, MYLK, MYHT MYBPC2, Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, MYH7, Dilated cardiomyopathy TNN12, TINN13, TPM1, MY13, ACTC1, PRKAG2, GLA, MYL2_IMNA. RYR2 Catecholarminergic polymorphic ventricular Iachycardia PKP2, DSP, Arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy DSC2, TMEM43, DSG2 KCNQ1, Romano-Ward Long QT Syndromes Types 1, 2, and 3, KCNH2, Brugada Syndrome SDSA. LDR, APOB, Familial hypercholesterolemia	The following alterations were flagged at the following alterations were not confirmethod of analysis is recommended price or dition please visit: Gene Tests (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gtr/). Genetic counseling is a recommended of RESULTS SUMMARY Carrier Status (Recessive Disorders) Senetic Status (Recessive Disorders) GENE MUTATION ARSA p.L428P DGUOK p.Q170R MPL c.1653delG TCTN1 p.M11 ARSA are associated with autosomal intralysosomal cerebroside sulfate in the progressive demyelination and various of Mutat 2008;29:E220-E230).	as disease causing based upon ACMG guidelines or citation in HGMD. Immed by Sanger sequencing. Confirmation of these results by Sanger sequencing or another for to clinical utilization. To find a laboratory offering clinical testing for a specific gene or ////////////////////////////////////

Results

rgoing DES will receive a secondary report ninimum gene list

Exome (CDE) orders only, patients may secondary findings report which includes genes in addition to options from the ories:

- er status

- disposition

to opt-out of all secondary findings

"mutation" are reported

nly.

Conclusions

This strategy supports the recommendations set forth by ACMG, while preserving the autonomy of the patient.

References

1) Abdul-Karin R, et al., 2013

2) Bell CJ, et al. (2011) Sci Transl Med 3:36ra64. 3) Berg JS, et al. (2012) Genetics in Medicine. 4) Couzin-Frankel J (2011) Science **331**:662-665 5) Duncan RE, et al. (2005) Genet Med 7(6);390-396. 6) Duncan RE, et al., 2008 7) Fabsitz RR, et al. (2010) *Circ Cadio Vasc* Genet **3**:374-580. 8) Green RC, et al. (2013) Genetics in Medicine 15(7)565-574. 9) Mand C, et al. (2012) J Med Ethics **38(9)** 519-524. 10) Murphy J, et al. (2008) 11) Ross LF, et al., (2013) Genet Med 15(3):234-245. 12) Shahmirzadi L, et al. (in press). *Genetics in Medicine*. 13) Wolf et al.(2012) Genetics In Medicine **14**:361-384