HOW MANY DISEASES CAN ONE GENE CAUSE? WHY MECHANISM MATTERS FOR GENE CURATION, VARIANT **CLASSIFICATION, AND PATIENT COUNSELING**

Jennifer M. Huang, Bess Wayburn, Mari Rossi, Wendy Alcaraz, Meghan Towne, Carolyn Horton, Jennifer Herrera-Mullar, Devon Thrush, Kelly Radtke

Ambry Genetics, Aliso Viejo, CA, USA

OBJECTIVES

To assess the number and define the types of multiple disease relationships among genes with at least one characterized neurodevelopmental disorder (NDD) association.

How many genes have multiple disease associations?

What factors influence curation of genes with multiple disease associations?

jhuang@ambrygen.com



cient

Ambry Genetics[®]

METHODS

- Reviewed 1502 NDD genes with gene-disease validity (GDV) scored for all gene-disease relationships (GDR).
- Excluded GDRs with:
 - No differences in Mol or MoD; Limited GDV

Distinct clinical presentations and unique MOD

- Genes with >1 characterized GDR were grouped into four main categories.
 - Mol, MoD, and clinical presentation were tabulated for all characterized GDRs



What is the impact of multiple disease associations on clinical reporting?	How can we create language to discuss the various types of multiple disease associations?	 1678 disorders associated with 1502 NDD genes [range:1-4 GDR/gene] 10.2% (153/1502) of genes with >1 GDR Associated with 329/1678 (19.6%) total characterized GDR [Fig. 	
Figure 1: Categories of genes with multiple disease relationships			
Heterozygous FH loss-of- function (LOF) Biallelic FH LOF	De novo GRIN1 missense truncating	Autosomal dominant <i>PTPN11</i> GOF Autosomal dominant <i>PTPN11</i> LOF	ACTG1 Unknown MOD
 Kereditary Kereditary Kereditary Kereditary Kerenal cell Kerenal cell<	CRIN1-related NDD	PTPN11-related RASopathy Metachondromatosis	Baraitser-Winter syndrome ACTG1-relat deafness
Dosage effect Distinct clinical presentations due to dosage	Multimodal Similar clinical presentation with differences	ain Allelic	Unknown Distinct clinical presentations but insuffic

Figure 2: Genes with multiple disease associations

MOI and MOD

Figure 3: Differentiating factors of disorders associated with the same gene

information on MOD



Gene-disease Clinical relationship presentation

rogenic PTPN11-related RASopathy Gain of function

Thorough curation of GDR is We propose several categories





VUS Metachondromatosis Loss of function Autosomal dominant, reduced penetrance GDV score = Strong

essential to accurately classify variants and provide informative clinical reports

to describe multiple disease

associations.

Functional confirmation of MoD is necessary for accurately defining

genetic disorders.

REFERENCES

effects

- Smith ED, Radtke K, Rossi M, et al. Classification of genes: standardized clinical validity assessment of gene-disease associations aids diagnostic exome analysis and reclassifications. Hum Mutat. 2017; 38(5):600-608. doi:10.1002/humu.23183
- Thaxton C, Goldstein J, DiStefano, M, et al. Lumping versus splitting: How to approach defining a disease to enable accurate genomic curation. Cell Genom. 2022; 2(5). Doi: 10.1016/j.xgen.2022.100131.
- Hulsen, T. DeepVenn—a web application for the creation of area-proportional Venn diagrams using the deep learning framework Tensorflow.js. arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.05497, 2022.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS Grace VanNoy

Jullianne Diaz

• Dr. Marcy Richardson